Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

A new approach to the synthesis of 3,4-dihydroisocoumarin derivatives

Mykola D. Obushak*, Vasyl S. Matiychuk, Victor V. Turytsya

Department of Organic Chemistry, Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Kyryla i Mefodiya St. 6, Lviv 79005, Ukraine

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 26 June 2009 Revised 23 July 2009 Accepted 14 August 2009 Available online 20 August 2009 A new, simple, one-step synthesis of 3-substituted 3,4-dihydroisocoumarins is developed. The products are obtained by the reaction of *o*-methoxycarbonyl arenediazonium bromides with unsaturated compounds in the presence of CuBr as a catalyst.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Isocoumarin and 3,4-dihydroisocoumarin derivatives are wellknown compounds isolated from a wide variety of natural sources, and which exhibit a broad range of biological properties^{1,2} such as antifungal,³ antiallergic, antimicrobial,⁴ immunomodulatory,⁵ antitumour and/or cytotoxic,⁶ anti-inflammatory,⁷ plant growth inhibition⁸ and enzyme inhibitory⁹ activity. Isocoumarin derivatives are important precursors in the synthesis of many naturally occurring isoquinoline alkaloids and their analogues.¹⁰

A number of methods have been described for the synthesis of isocoumarins. The most common synthetic approach involves cyclization of homophthalic or 2-methylbenzoic acid derivatives.¹¹ While these transformations are widely used, they suffer from several notable disadvantages such as (i) the starting materials are often commercially unavailable, (ii) the reaction conditions are difficult to control and (iii) all the reagents must be of a high purity grade.

Another important method for the construction of the isocoumarin ring involves Sonogashira coupling followed by cyclization of alkynes containing a carboxylate or other substituent in proximity to the triple bond (Scheme 1).¹²

A number of isocoumarins have been prepared in good yields via Pd-catalyzed annulation of internal alkynes.¹³ However, this method is somewhat limited in synthetic scope since it is highly selective for symmetrical disubstituted acetylenes. Furthermore, 2-iodobenzoic acid reacts with allene derivatives under palladium catalysis to afford the corresponding isocoumarins.¹⁴

The purpose of our work was to study the syntheses of 3,4dihydroisocoumarins via the Meerwein arylation reaction. Various approaches to the synthesis of benzoheterocycles from diazonium salts have been investigated. Intramolecular cyclization occurred in the reaction of unsaturated compounds with arenediazonium salts, which contained a suitable substituent *ortho*- to the diazonium group.¹⁵

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +380 322600396. E-mail address: obushak@in.lviv.ua (M.D. Obushak). A two-step synthesis of 3-substituted 3,4-dihydroisocoumarins based on the Meerwein arylation reaction was reported earlier.^{16,17} 3-Cyano-3,4-dihydroisocoumarin was synthesized by the reaction of sodium with 2-(2-chloro-2-cyanoethyl)benzoic acid, which was obtained by the CuCl₂-mediated Meerwein arylation of acrylonitrile with 2-carboxybenzenediazonium chloride.¹⁶

Herein, we report a one-pot synthesis of 3,4-dihydroisocoumarin derivatives **3a-h** via the CuBr-catalyzed reaction of *o*methoxycarbonyl benzenediazonium bromides **1a-d** with unsaturated compounds **2a-d** (Table 1). The key step involves intramolecular cyclization to give compounds **3a-h**. The reactions occurred under mild conditions in water–polar organic solvent medium [water–acetone (1:2) was found to be the best]. *o*-Ethoxycarbonyl benzenediazonium bromides were also converted into 3,4-dihydroisocoumarins **3a-h** in a similar manner to **1a-d**.¹⁸

In order to investigate the scope of this reaction, *o*-methoxycarbonyl benzenediazonium chloride **4** was reacted with methyl acrylate, ethyl acrylate and acrylonitrile. In this case, the usual Meerwein arylation products **5a–c** were obtained (Scheme 2 and Table 2, entries 1–3), and no cyclic products were isolated. Moreover, CuCl and FeCl₂¹⁹ were also used as catalysts in this reaction which proceeded in a similar manner to give compounds **5a–c**.²⁰

o-Carboxybenzenediazonium bromide **6** was examined in the reactions with methyl and ethyl acrylates **2a**,**e**, however, no cyclization reaction was observed. Acids **7a**,**b** were obtained using CuBr as catalyst (Scheme 3 and Table 2, entries 4 and 5).²¹



 $X = COOH, COOR^1, CN$

Scheme 1. Construction of the isocoumarin ring.





^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter \odot 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.08.024

Table 1

Formation of 3,4-dihydroisocoumarins



^a Yield after purification.



Scheme 2. Formation of the chloroarylation products. The reaction was carried out with **4** (obtained from 0.1 equiv of methyl anthranilate, HCl (40 mL) and 0.12 equiv of NaNO₂), 0.1 equiv of the corresponding olefin, acetone (100 mL), CuCl₂ (3.5 g), 0.5–1 h.

In summary, we have described a simple and efficient method for the preparation of 3,4-dihydroisocoumarin derivatives. Cyclization occurred to yield 3,4-dihydroisocoumarins **3**, when *o*-alkoxycarbonyl benzenediazonium bromides were used. In the case of *o*carboxybenzenediazonium bromides and *o*-alkoxycarbonyl benzenediazonium chlorides, acyclic products were obtained. Further investigations on the scope and limitations of the reported method are in progress.

Table 2				
Synthesis of arylatio	n products	5а-с	and	7a,b

Entry	Diazonium salt	Olefin	Catalyst	Product	Yield ^a (%)
1	$\underbrace{1}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{COOMe}}$	COOMe 2a	CuCl ₂	COOMe Cl 5a COOMe	45
2	$\bigcup_{\mathbf{A}}^{\text{COOMe}} \mathbf{A}_{N_2} \mathbf{COOMe}$	COOEt 2e	CuCl ₂	COOMe Cl 5b COOEt	35
3	$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} $ } \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} } \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} } \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\	CN 2f	CuCl ₂	COOMe Cl 5c CN	40
4	$\mathbf{COOH}_{\mathbf{N}_{2}^{+}\mathbf{Br}}^{\mathbf{COOH}}$	COOMe 2a	CuBr	COOH Br 7a COOMe	36
5	$\mathbf{COOH}_{\mathbf{N_2}^+\mathrm{Br}}^{\mathrm{COOH}}$	COOEt 2e	CuBr	COOH Br 7b COOEt	30

^a Yield after purification.



Scheme 3. Formation of the bromoarylation products. The reaction was carried out with 6 (obtained from 0.1 equiv of anthranilic acid, HBr (40 mL, 48%) and 0.12 equiv of NaNO₂), 0.1 equiv of the corresponding olefin, acetone (100 mL), CuBr (3.5 g), 0.5-1 h.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine for financial support of this project (0109U002073).

References and notes

- 1. Hill, R. A. Forschr. Chem. Naturst. 1986, 49, 1-78.
- (a) Barry, R. D. Chem. Rev. 1964, 64, 229-260; (b) Napolitano, E. Org. Prep. 2. Proced. Int. 1997, 29, 631-664.
- 3. (a) Nozawa, K.; Yamada, M.; Tsuda, Y.; Kawai, K.; Nakajima, S. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1981, 29, 2491-2495; (b) Meepagala, K. M.; Sturtz, G.; Wedge, D. E. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2002, 50, 6989-6992.
- (a) Yoshikawa, M.; Harada, E.; Naitoh, Y.; Inoue, K.; Matsuda, H.; Shimoda, H.; Yamahara, J.; Murakami, N. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1994, 42, 2225-2230; (b) Matsuda, H.; Shimoda, H.; Yoshikawa, M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1999, 7, 1445-1450; (c) Hussain, M. T.; Rama, N. H.; Malik, A. Indian J. Chem., Sect. B 2001, 40, 372-376
- Matsuda, H.; Shimoda, H.; Yamahara, J.; Yoshikawa, M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 5. **1998**, 8, 215-220.
- (a) Whyte, A. C.; Gloer, J. B.; Scott, J. A.; Malloch, D. J. Nat. Prod. 1996, 59, 765-769; (b) Cai, Y.; Kleiner, H.; Johnston, D.; Dubowski, A.; Botic, S.; Ivie, W.; Di Giovanni, J. Carcinogenesis 1997, 18, 1521-1527; (c) Rossi, R.; Carpita, A.; Bellina, F.; Stabile, P.; Mannina, L. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 2067-2081; (d) Cañedo, L. M.; Puentes, J. L. F.; Baz, J. P. J. Antibiot. 1997, 50, 175-176; (e) Sato,

T.; Nagai, K.; Suzuki, K.; Morioka, M.; Saito, T.; Nohara, C.; Susaki, K.; Takebayashi, Y. J. Antibiot. **1992**, 45, 1949–1952. Furuta, T.; Fukuyama, Y.; Asakawa, Y. Phytochemistry **1986**, 25, 517–520. Hashimoto, T.; Tori, M.; Asakawa, Y. Phytochemistry **1987**, 26, 3323–3330.

- 7
- 8.
- Powers, J. C.; Asgian, J. L.; Ekici, Ö. D.; James, K. E. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 4639-9 4750
- (a) Houser, F. M.; Baghdanov, V. M. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 4676-4681; (b) Jones, 10. J. B.; Pinder, A. R. J. Chem. Soc. 1958, 2612–2618; (c) Tirodkar, R. B.; Usgaonkar, R. N. Indian J. Chem. 1972, 10, 1060-1064.
- (a) Parker, K. A.; Breault, G. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 3835-3838; (b) Lewis, 11. C. N.; Staunton, J.; Sunter, D. C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1988, 747–754; (c) Ohta, S.; Kamata, Y.; Inagaki, T.; Masuda, Y.; Yamamoto, S.; Yamashita, M.; Kawasaki, I. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1993, 41, 1188-1190; (d) Izumi, T.; Morishita, N. Heterocycl. Chem. 1994, 31, 145-152; (e) Mehta, G.; Shah, S. R.; Venkateswarlu, Y. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 11729-11742; (f) Rama, N. H.; Iqbal, R.; Zamani, K.; Saeed, A.; Iqbal, M. Z.; Choudhary, M. I. Indian J. Chem., Sect. B 1998, 37, 365-369; (g) Bovicelli, P.; Lupattelli, P.; Crescenzi, B.; Sanetti, A.; Bernini, R. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 14719-14728; (h) Mal, D.; Bandyopadhyay, M.; Ghorai, S. K.; Datta, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 3677-3680; (i) Hussain, M. T.; Rama, N. H.; Malik, A. Indian J. Chem., Sect. B 2001, 40, 372–376; (j) Yuan, H.; Junker, B.; Helquist, D.; Tailor, R. E. Curr. Org. Synth. 2004, 1, 1-9; (k) Donner, C. D.; Gill, M.; Tewierik, L. M. Molecules 2004, 9, 498-512.
- 12. (a) Chinchilla, R.; Nájera, C. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 874-922; (b) Subramanian, V.; Batchu, V. R.; Barange, D.; Pal, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 4778-4783; (c) Nakamura, Y.; Ukita, T. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2317-2320.
- 13. (a) Larock, R. C.; Doty, M. J.; Han, X. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 8770-8779; (b) Larock, R. C.; Yum, E. K.; Doty, M. J.; Sham, K. C. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3270-3271; (c) Larock, R. C.; Han, X.; Doty, M. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 5713-5716; (d) Larock, R. C. Pure Appl. Chem. 1999, 71, 1435-1442.
- (a) Cherry, K.; Parrain, J.-L.; Thibonnet, J.; Duchêne, A.; Abarbri, M. J. Org. Chem. 14. 2005, 70, 6669-6675; (b) Chakravarty, M.; Swamy, K. C. K. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71. 9128-9138.
- (a) Saunders, K. H.; Allen, R. L. M. Aromatic Diazo Compounds; Edward Arnold: 15. London, 1985; (b) Zollinger, H. Diazo Chemistry. I. Aromatic and Heteroaromatic Compounds; VCH: Weinheim, 1994.
- 16. Malinowski, S. Roczniki Chem. 1952, 26, 85-97.
- (a) Matiychuk, V. S.; Turytsya, V. V.; Obushak, N. D. Chem. Heterocycl. Compd. 2007, 43, 1589-1590; (b) Heinrich, M. R.; Wetzel, A.; Kirschstein, M. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3833-3835.
- Typical procedure for the arylation reaction: A solution of sodium nitrite (8 g, 18. 0.12 mol) in H₂O (15 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred and ice-cold mixture of methyl anthranilate (15.1 g, 0.1 mol, 12.9 mL), aqueous HBr (48%, 40 mL) and H₂O (40 mL) below 0-5 °C. The cold diazonium salt solution was slowly added to a vigorously stirred solution of CuBr (3.5 g) and olefin 2a-d (0.1 mol)

in acetone (100 mL) at room temperature. The reaction was exothermic and the rate of addition was adjusted such that nitrogen gas was evolved at a rate of 2–3 bubbles/s (0.5–1 h). The resultant homogeneous solution was stirred for 30 min at 40 °C and then diluted with H₂O (150 mL). The organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by distillation under reduced pressure to give isocoumarins **3a–h** as pale-yellow solids.

Methyl 1-oxo-3,4-dihydro-1H-isochromene-3-carboxylate **3a**: Yield 47%, bp 176–180 °C (1 mmHg), mp 83–84 °C (EtOH); ¹H NMR: (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6 + CCl₄): δ = 3.25 (dd, ²*J* = 16.9 Hz, ³*J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (dd, ²*J* = 16.9 Hz, ³*J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (dd, ²*J* = 16.9 Hz, ³*J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H) 7.57–7.62 (m, 1H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz 1H); ¹³C NMR: (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 27.50, 53.34, 74.66, 125.12, 127.67, 127.98, 135.96, 136.38, 136.45, 164.10, 170.45; MS, *m/z* = 207 (M*+1); Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₀O₄: C, 64.08; H, 4.89. Found: C, 63.83; H, 4.72.

- (a) Obushak, N. D. Russ. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 35, 309–310; (b) Obushak, N. D. Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 1998, 68, 443–445; (c) Ganushchak, N. I.; Obushak, N. D.; Polishchuk, O. P. J. Org. Chem. USSR 1982, 18, 633–639.
- Methyl 2-(2-chloro-2-cyanoethyl)benzoate 5c: Yield 40%, mp 61–62 °C (EtOH);
 ¹H NMR: (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 3.73 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 5.44 (t,

J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.63 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR: (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 40.06, 43.89, 52.98, 118.30, 129.08, 130.09, 131.50, 133.33, 133.52, 136.30, 167.39; MS m/z = 224 (M*+1); Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₀CINO₂: C, 59.07; H, 4.51; N, 6.26. Found: C, 58.95; H, 4.60; N, 6.13.

21. 2-(2-Bromo-2-methoxycarbonylethyl)benzoic acid **7a**: Yield 36%, mp 90–91 °C (EtOH); ¹H NMR: (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 3.55 (dd, ²*J* = 13.2 Hz ³*J* = 7.2 Hz 1H), 3.65–3.75 (m, 4H), 4.63 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.41–7.47 (m, 1H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), ¹³C NMR: (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 30.14, 46.51, 53.51, 128.26, 128.49, 128.65, 129.75, 134.66, 138.32, 164.12, 168.99; MS *m/z* = 287 (M⁺+1, ⁷⁹Br), 289 (M⁺+1, ⁸¹Br); Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₁BrO₄: C, 46.02; H, 3.86; Br, 27.83. Found: C, 45.89; H, 3.69; Br, 27.60. 2-(*2*-Bromo-2-*e*thoxycarbonylethyl)benzoic acid **7b**: Yield 30%, mp 124–125 °C (EtOH); ¹H NMR: (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆ + CCl₄): δ = 1.17 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 3.56 (dd, ²*J* = 13.2 Hz, ³*J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (dd, ²*J* = 13.2 Hz, ³*J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.07–4.15 (m, 2H), 4.62 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.50 (m, 3H), 7.94 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR: (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 14.44, 30.14, 47.44, 61.87, 126.20, 127.35, 129.51, 132.25, 132.50, 136.30, 164.11, 168.07; MS *m/z* = 301 (M⁺⁺¹, ⁷⁹Br), 303 (M⁺⁺¹, ⁸¹Br); Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₃BrO₄: C, 47.86; H, 4.35. Found: C, 47.80; H, 4.60.